G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references

File No. 429-21

Serial Nos. 1-6

192 / .

(May 22)

Later references.

Dl 32-20 (62)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-6

Part B for May 22.

SUBJECT.

Sami puja by Mr Rego at Kankanhalli

D.C.S of Districts

6162-9 dt 3.5.22

Issuing a Circular order.

WD 1688—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

G.R.S. 11] 1921-22

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(May)

NOTES.

File No. 429-21

FILE HEADING.

Conduct of "Sami Puja" at Kankanhalli on the last Vijaya Dasami day by Mr L. S. Rego, the Amildar of the Taluk, who is a non-Hindu.

From

The Rev: Comr in Mysore.

No. 1737 of 21-22 Dated 1-12-21 Received 2-12-21

Diary No. 2203	Enclos. 2 & 1 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards copy of the Bangalore Dt. Dy: Comrs letter reporting with records of enquiry, on the petn of Gurukar Channabasappa & others of Kankanhalli complaining that on the last Vijayadasami day the "Sami Puja" was performed by Mr L. S. Rego, the Amildar, who is a non-Hindu; and requesting that samprokshane should be performed to the deities concerned.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

J S. D. O., who held an enquiry into the matter, states that it is learnt that Mr. Rego did perform the function objected to by the Hindus of the place; and that the admissibility or otherwise may be better decided by the Agamiks of the Mug: Deptt.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12 8 11

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 2/29 of 19 21

Serial No.

The D.C. is of the opinion that the Amildar to take for Mr Rego should not have been forwarded purely religious matters, that he should have respected the feelings of the Pandits & archaks present there, & that there is no reason for Govt to bear the expenses of Samprokshane due to an indiscreet act of Mr Rego & states that the explanation called for from Mr Rego has not yet been received -

2 While all those who had signed the petition say that Parivatta was tied to the head of the Amildar, the Archak Alasingachar denies it - From the statements taken by the S.D.O, it will be seen that archak Alasingachar was rather hasty in his action in showing honors to the Amildar and asking him to perform the function objected to by the others. The Police Inspector appears to have cooperated with the Amildar in his forward act. The Taluk Sheristedar's statement, while it shows that the Amildar performed the function, does not show that Parivatta was tied to his head -

3 If approved, Mr Venkatachariar, the office agamik will be requested to give

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

My File No. 429 of 1921

Serial No.

his opinion in the matter. If he should state that Samprokshane is necessary, Then the cost of it must be recovered from Mr. Rego.

4. Submitted for orders.

17-12-21

5. The opinion of the agamiks is to the following effect.

6. The cutting of the plantain and Sami tree on the Vijaya Dasami day is not an act prescribed by the shastras, but is a custom respected in some places and not so in other places. It is, however, laid down in the shastras that "Sami puje" (and not cutting of Sami tree) should be conducted and that the Sami leaves should be distributed to those present after being offered to the deity.

7. Non-Hindus such as Christians, Mahomedans & others, though they may be Local Govt. officers, should not take part in ceremonials conducted according to Agama shastras in Hindu temples. If they do so, it is worse than "Varna Sankara" It is stated in the Agama shastras that even Brahmins

NOTE—Contn sheet.

Serial No.

who have not undergone Deekshai (Dīkṣā) cannot take part in Divine service in temples & that "Sanni" puje should be conducted by the archak alone. No "parivatta" can be tied to a Christian, as the archak cannot touch him in the course of his duties. So, not only "Samprokshane" should be done, but also the archak should undergo "Prayaschittam" before performing "Samprokshane".

8 Authorities have been quoted, showing the necessity for the conduct of "Sanni puje" on the Vijaya Dasami Day and for samprokshane" when such puje is conducted in contravention of the Agama Shastras.

9. In the light of the opinion given by the Agamiks, it appears necessary that ~~Prog~~ Samprokshane should be conducted and that the archak should undergo Prayaschittam. Before issuing ~~of~~ orders to the above effect, ~~and~~ the cost being recovered from Mr Rego, it seems necessary that the explanation called for Mr Rego should be received.

10 So, a draft requesting the R. C. to obtain the explanation of Mr Rego and

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G.R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mysore File No. 429 of 1921.

Serial No	
	submit the same is/are bd. for approval or other orders.
	24/12/21
3526/1921	26/12/21
27/12	K. Gilland Chetwin 26.12.21
	12 Such approval Mr Rego Explanation is necessary for the disposal of the case
	Rec'd 29-12-21
2	To the Revenue Asst in charge of Mysore No. 3171 dated 1/2/22 Call for the explanation of Mr Rego
3	From the Rev Comr in Mysore., letter no. C. 1737/Gl.21-22 dt 15-2-22 Forwards the report of Mr Rego ~~explt~~ recd with the Dy. Comr's letter no. P. 678 dt 1.2.22, explaining the circumstances under which he performed "Sami Puja" on the last Vijayadasami Day.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No 14

The Amildar Mr. Rego states in his report that the archak put the flower garland over his neck, after mangalarati, and asked him to cut the plantain tree near the Sami tree and he did so, as the ~~refree~~ representative of the Maharaja, without the knowledge that it was a religious function and that he was acting against the wishes of the Hindus. He states that if his action was objectionable he may be pardoned and instructions issued for his future guidance.

15. From the Agamik's opinion on record in the file, it will be seen that "Sami puja" is a different act from cutting a plantain tree & Sami tree, the latter not being Shastraic.

16. The archak has stated that the "Sami puja was performed by him. In the Amildar report, he does not say that the Sami puja was done by him. The Archak has also stated that he did not tie the "parivatta" to the Amildar's head. The complainants Narayana Setti & others state that the Parivatta was tied to the Amildar's head and that the Shami tree was cut by him

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 427 of 1921.

Serial No.

17. The Agamik has opined that it is objectionable for a non Hindu to take part in Shastriac ceremonies and that it is quite necessary that samprokshane should be performed. Subd for orders with reference to para 12.

SD 20/2/22 20/2/22

18. What is the evidence as to the performance of Samprokshane by Mr. Kelly 22/2/22

Subd

19. Beyond the statement of the petrs before the ~~Assistant~~ S. D. O, there is no other evidence.

SD 23-2-22

20 Let the Agamik read the Prayaschitta of Mr. Kelly and then state whether he has done anything objectionable 23/2/22

Mr Joseph Kulasekara Chetty applied the Sandalwood to the body Wife and the Archaka found out if Parvathamma worked Round his head

22/2/22

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

3916

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mwy · File No. 419 of 1921.

Serial No.	
22.	Draft D.O. Subd. MW. 7.3.22. 8/3/22 23. 8/3/22
4	D.O letter no: 4878 & 8.3.22 to Mr L.S. Rego, Amildar Kankanhalli Taluk Enquiries if Parivaram was tied round his head while performing Sami Puja at Kankanhalli on the last Vijaya Dasami day
D 3916 5	D.O. his: no. 827 & 13.3.22 from Mr L.S. Rego, Amildar, Kankanhally. States, in reply, that no parivattam was tied to his head on the day in point
	24. Subd. with refce to paras 12 & 21 supra -
17.3.22	8/3/22 25 Venkata Chary Superintendent 18/3/22
	Mr. Gopal Venkatachari's opinion is on

NOTE—Contn sheet.

R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mu3 File No. 429 of 1926

Serial No.

27 ~~Accord~~ Mr. Toofil Venkatachari's opinion is on record.

28 He says that as no Parivattam" was tied round the head of Mr. Rego, the mere cutting of the plantain tree by him, after being garlanded, does not require "Samprokshana," ~~as~~ the cutting of the plantain tree is stated to be an act not according to Agamas. ~~Still both~~ Notwithstanding the above, he is of the opinion that non-Hindus should take no part at all in such ceremonials.

23.3.22

29 Mr. Rego's explanation may be accepted. I do not think any further action is called for except to inform the S. Co. (confidentially) if normal or necessary that the R.C.'s that it will be better if non-Hindu officers do not take part in purchase of a Shastric nature. This can best be effected by making a reference to the local responsible people ascertaining the real to before Land

31.3.22

NOTE—Contn. sheet

5-117-296
28.3.22

5916

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | May File No. 429 of 1922.

Serial No.

30. Subd for orders as to the action to be taken on the latter portion of the Member's orders in the preceding page

Sd 3.4.22

31. Draft of a Circular Cmp d to the Bd Comrs of Drks is Subd for appl orders.

Sd 3/4/22

18 Members Dewan

Hm 5/4/22

5.5.49.300 15.4.22

32 Dft A marked. Secd abenden

p 31.3.

33. The Dewan.

DEWAN'S OFFICE. Recd. on APR 18 1922 Reg. No. 5625

Hm 17/4

34. Myl Chief Cashr 4/

35 Issue yr

Hm 1/5

4 No 6162-9 of 3rd May

Do The D.C.s of Drks

Requests to be returned

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.

Officers to be invited to take part in the functions, ceremonies & procession

36. As soon as the Empress of India arrives at the Fort Office to be informed accordingly

37 Bk 12/5/22 Issue

38 Resubmit the file with Mr Browning's letter of 11-5- with orders

Bm 15/5

6 No 6458 of 17th Inward from Mr Chief Secy

7 From the D. C. Mysore, letter No 681 of 11-22 of 9-5-22

States that the Govt order is not clear and requests that more detailed instructions may be given

39 File submd, as required with Mr Browning's letter-

18/5/22 Bk 40. If approved, the circular

19/5/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mys File No. 429 of 1921.

Serial No.

that led to the order will be made known to
the Honourable

6647-58. 22.5.22. 1st member

Yes. No objection to position being made clear in a confidential D.O. letter.

Reed 23.5.22

Draft D.O. is put up.

26/5/22

D.O. No. 6763 dated 2nd June 1922
To The Dy. Commr. Mysore & Kolar

Informs that a certain non-Hindu
Amildar cut the Sami tree on the
last Vijayadasami day

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

26792

R. C. 4.

2203

4-22

Muz

FROM

K.R. Srinivasiengar, Esq., I.A.,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai *Department.*

Dated 29th November 1921.

Despatched 1-12-1921

Received

General No. C. 1737 &c &c

Enclosure No. One copy, Receipt

SUBJECT.

Submitting herewith a re
... with the records of enquiry
on the petition of Gurukar Chikkabasappa
and other residents of Ka...

OFFICE OF

IN

e. No. 1737 & 21-22
Enc. as copy & 1 file

Bangalore,..29th..November..
1-12-21

FROM

K.R. Srinivasiengar, Esq., M A
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
M y s o r e *Department.*

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith for the orders of Government copy of letter No.P.678/21-22 dated the 24th November 1921 together with its enclosures in original received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, submitting a report and connected records of enquiry on the petition of Gurukar Cheruvabhamma and others residents of Kankanhalli, complaining that on the last Vijayadasami day the "Sami Puja" was performed by Mr. L.S. Rego, the Amildar of the Taluk, though as a non-Hindu he was not eligible to perform it and requesting in the circumstances that "Samprokshane" may be performed to the deity concerned.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

B.R.

Letter No.P.678/21-22 dated 24th November 1921 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

I have the honour to forward herewith a petition received in this office on the 15th October 1921 from Gurukar Chennabasappa and other residents of the Kankanhalli Town in the Kankanhalli Taluk stating that Mr. L.S.Rego, the Amildar of the Taluk, performed the Sami Puja (ಶಮಿ ಪೂಜೆ) on the last Vijayadasami day.

The Sub Division Officer held an enquiry into the matter and says that it is learnt that Mr. Rego did perform the function that the contention of the people in general is that it should not have been done by Non-Hindu and that the admissibility or otherwise may better be decided by the expert pandits employed in the Muzrai Secretariat. The records of enquiry and copy of Sub Division Officer's docket No.H.C.213 of 21-22 dated 1st instant are enclosed.

In my opinion, Mr. Rego ought not to have been forward in matters purely religious, and he should have respected the feelings of the pandits and archaks who were present at the time. I also consider that there is no reason why the Government should bear the cost of Samprokshana for an imprudent act of Mr. Rego. I beg to add that the explanation of Mr. Rego was called for on 12th November 1921 and it has not been received up-to-date.

" C o p y "

B.R.

For Revenue Commissioner.

Docket No.H.C.213 of 21-22 dated 1st November 1921 from the Sub-Division officer, Clospet, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

With reference to his No.P.678 of 21-22 dated 18-10-21, forwarding a petition received from Gurukar Chennabasappa and others of Kankanhalli town, complaining that Mr. Rego, the Amildar of the Taluk -- did the (ಪೂಜೆ) samy puje on the last Vijaya dasamy day and praying -- Samprokshana may be performed to the diety etc for enquiry and report has the honor to state that the petitioners and Alasingrachar were sent for and the statements recorded by them are herein enclosed for kind perusal.

From the enquiries made, it is learnt that the Amildar Mr.Rego did the function of cutting the plantain tree and received the maryad as a representative of His Highness the Maharaja.

The contention of the people in general is, that such pujas --- should not be performed by non-Hindus, as it is purely a Hindu function..

The admissibility or otherwise of the complaint has only to be decided on obtaining the expert opinion of Pandits employed in the --- Muzrai Secretariat.

******

" C o p y "

For Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 2203

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mug)

, the *191* .

Date of issue

Signed by-

Section Mug

File No. 429-21

Serial No 2

No.

To

The Rev: Comr in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1737 of 21-2 & 1-12-21 forwarding the translated Dy: Comrs report, with record of enquiry, on the petn of Gurukar Channabasappa and others of Kankanhalli complaining that "Sami Puja" on the last Vijaya Dasami day was performed by Mr Rego, the Taluk Amildar, who is a non-Hindu, I am directed

over.

to request that the explanation
of the Amildar Mr Rego, which
is reported to have been called
for, may be obtained and ~~submitted~~ forwarded
as early as possible.

I have etc

24/12/21
Secretary
Mujrai Deptt

Rec.
29.12.21

SD
24/12

24/12/21

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

C. No. 1737/Rgl 21-22 Bangalore 11th February 1922

FROM

K.R. Srinivasiengar, Esq., M.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Department.

SIR,

Referring to your Letter No.3301/Muz.439-21.2 dated the 20th January 1922, I have the honour to forward herewith a report submitted by M. L.S Pig[illegible] Sub-Divisional Officer Bangalore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.P.678 dated the 1st instant explaining [illegible] informed "Sami Puja" the last Vijayadasami day.

I have the honour

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Revenue Commissioner.

R. C. 4.

FROM

K.R. Srinivasiengar, Esq., M.A
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai *Department.*

Dated 11th February 1922.

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding a report submitted by Mr. L.S Rao.

...

Cessation of the Mugrai Agamikis

[illegible]

[illegible] ...1/33.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

19-12-21

అప్పెండిక్స్

16. [illegible]

22-3-22.

[illegible]

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 9382 H2/-22.

Section

File No. 629-20

Serial No 20

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

D. O. No. 4878

Date of issue 8-3-22

Signed by Ch. V. E. S. Ayya

~~Dear Sir,~~

With reference to the report you have submitted through the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District in the matter of the proposed ~~by you~~ of Yami Puja at Kankanhalli on the last Vijayadasami day, I am desired to enquire whether Parivattam was tied round your head on the occasion. An immediate reply is requested.

Please let me know whether Parivattam was tied round your head on the occasion. Please reply by return of post.

Yours sincerely,

over.

C.W.H.

To

V. V. Rego Esq.

Amildar, Kankanhalli

Taluk.

Ch. V. E. S. Ayya

8/3/22

complaints heretofore causing considerable

A great deal of ~~complaints~~ complaints
pleasantness
and trouble ~~have~~ been necessitated in
much avoidable expense
of Marriage funds. The
Dy Comrs of Drills are
requested to instruct all
Officers against taking
part in such ceremonials.
The practice obtaining in
Cases of this Kind can be
ascertained well beforehand
from responsible Treasurers
people and the action
of the Officers ~~be guided~~ regulated
~~thereby~~ accordingly

List of enclosures.

Hon Sir
Secy, War Office

Secy
Govt

To

Dy 31/10/22
The Dy Comrs of Drills

Copied by

Examined by

4672
21-22
Mw2

429-21-5

11/5/22

1922-12

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore

Dated } 9th May 1921.
Despatched }
Received

General No. Rev. 687/21-22 dt
No.

Enclosure No. Nil.

Tribunal returned to the Head Clerk
office taking part in the Taluk finals
-ly with the above.

Submitted with file
Bm
11/5

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSI
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore, 9th May 1922

From

P.F.Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.*

Muzrai Department. Bangalore.

SIR,

Advertin to .O.No.3162-9 Muz.429-12-4 dated 3rd May 22, I have the honor to request to be informed what exactly the sort of Theistric functions or ceremonies connected with temple worship and processions are, which the non-Hindu local officers are prohibited from taking part, as this is not quite clear from the Government order above referred to.

Moreover, no such instances in which non-Hindu local Officers took objectionable part connected with temple functions, have ever come to my notice in this District.

I have anyhow, sent a copy of the Government Order under reference to my subordinate officers for guidance.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

G. R. S. VI—G/321

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 3383

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mug)

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 17-5-22

Signed by Mr A V. R Rattan

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 6458

Enc. two.

To

The Rev: Comm in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No C. 1737/ if dt-2-5-1922 on the subject of conduct of [illegible] by Mr A. R. D. Fuchter of the [illegible] I am directed to forward a copy of the confidential circular No. [illegible]

over.

issued to the Dy Comrs of Districts
~~in other matters~~ prohibiting non-Hindu
Local officers from taking part
in Sraddha ceremonies and ce-nies
connected

with

2. The enclosures to your letter
are returned

Shall we

12/5/22

Hm 15/5
Marked 2

Rk
18/5/22

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Confidential.

D.O.No. 6762 / Judg 429-21 dt 24/6/22

Dear Sir,

In reply to your letter No.681 of 21-22 dated 9th May 1922 requesting to be informed of the nature of Chattric functions or ceremonies referred to in the Government Order No.6162-9/Muz. 429-21-4 dated 3rd May 1922, I am desired to state that ~~the order was issued~~ ~~a compliment was received~~ ~~that the decision was communicated to the auth-~~ ~~orities concerned that~~ on the last Vijaya Dasami day the "Sami Puje" was performed certain Non-Hindu Muhammadan and that consequently samproks are had to be performed to the deities concerned.

the order was issued as was the custom was that

Yours sincerely,

for 1/6

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

losures.

Copied by

Examined by

C. R. S. VI—G/32]

Confidential

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 4672

General and Revenue Secretariat

(May)

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue

Signed by

Section

File No. 429-21.

Serial No.

No.

~~To~~

Dear Sir,

In reply to your letter no. 681 of 21-22 & 9-5-22 requesting to be informed of the nature of Shastric functions or ceremonies ~~connected with temple worship~~ and processions in which non-Hindu local officers should be prohibited from taking part, I am desired to explain to you ~~the circumstances~~ that led to the G. O. no. 6162-9/May 429-21-6

over.

Rep. to in

~~of 1922~~. I am directed to state that ~~it is stated~~

~~The Rev. Comr made a report~~

a

~~to hand on the~~ complaint ~~of~~ was received

~~Chinnabasappa & others of Kan Kankatti~~

that on the last Vijaya Dasami day

the "Sami Puje" was performed

by Mr. L. S. Rego, Builder, a non-Hindu

of Kankatti

and that consequently, Samprokshane

~~should~~ had to be performed to the deities concerned.

As the records of enquiry ~~furnished~~ received from the

Rev. Comr. showed

~~in the matter~~ ~~went to show~~ that Mr. Rego

took some part in the functions, which

wounded the religious feelings of the

Hindus ~~concerned~~, ~~though such~~ special

the Place

person was not forthcoming to the

ordering

~~performance~~ of Samprokshane,

as desired by the people, it was

considered

~~thought~~ desirable to issue an order

on general lines, as the one in question,

to prevent further such incidents

occurring in the State.

In order in question had to be issued in order to prevent a recurrence of such an irregularity and a consequent expenditure of Muzrai funds.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Yours truly

Secretary

Mys Deptt

17/[illegible]

26/5/22

Confidential.

D.O.No. 6763 X/26-22

My dear Sir, Mis 429-21

Referring to your D.O.letter No.110 dated 26th May 1922, ~~XXXXX~~ I write to say that it is not possible to enumerate all such functions. The incident that led to the issue of the order was the cutting by a certain non-Hindu Amildar of the Sami tree on the last Vijaya Dasami day in connection with the procession of the deity of a Hindu temple.

Mis 2429-21

Yours sincerely,

Bom 1/6

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Kolar District.

CONFIDENTIAL.

Do. 110
21-5

K o l a r,
26th May 1922.

Dear Sir,

Adverting to Government Circular No:6162-9/ Muz:429-21-4 dated 3-5-1922, about non-Hindu local officers taking part in Shastrai functions and ceremonies connected with temple worship &c., I should thank you to kindly specify the particular instances in view or others of the kind so as to enable me to understand the exact significance of the order to know what exactly has to be avoided.

I request the favour of an early reply.

Yours sincerely,

Suppln.
Can a disp be drawn up? If so it may be done today
Otherwise a reply as in the case of the Bowringpet letter may be prepared
Submitted
Ans
V/5

To
R. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, (in charge),
B a n g a l o r e.

Sd? I am not possible to communicate with the Asst. Comr, adapt reply to Mr Kincaid's draft
Mr. Comr's has been

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Cuff!

Re ...

Reference [illegible]
Do letter No 110 of 26th inst,
I write to say that information
has been received from
the President of Messrs Kerbaatly
Cutting a certain non-Hindu
[illegible] in connection with the
Do College
on the last Vijaya Dasami
day and that Sanyasi Bhoomananda
was not! This information
concerned, a report in
the matter was called for
and it was
observed that he did
exhibit as a part of the
ceremonial in connection
the procession of the
deity concerned. This
is objectionable, and
the management is
hereby directed to see
that no such
thing would

Wait.
It is not possible
to communicate the
all circumstances of the
instance of
Raja but the
mistaken the incident
facts leading
to the issue of the order
in question
as follows
was

BA
27.5.22

N B Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc not intended for permanent record]

In the future and
arrangement. Unavoidable
explanation & Minute
Form

N B- Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must de copied, or slips pasted on this form,

W D 1980—GPB—1 50 000—2W-21